# Technical Position Paper #10 Recommendations for Improving Building Energy Modeling in California



ROGER BAKER OCTOBER 23, 2019

### Overview





- Summarizes the modeling charrette held at PEC in May
  - Overview & background
  - Current modeling landscape
  - Improving the California modeling ecosystem
- Identifies issues, concerns & recommendations raised during the charrette
- LADWP presented case study of grid-level building modeling
  - Conducted in partnership with SCG
  - Modeling performed by NREL

## Improvements for the CA Building Energy Modeling Ecosystem





**Seamless Integration** 

Seamless integration of models, prototypes, rulesets, & processes must be successful

Create Single
Library of
Prototypes

Publicly available & transparent
Clear designation of "ownership"
Clear designation of update protocols & responsibilities

Develop Interoperable Rulesets

Aligning common elements across rulesets can reduce use-case specific rules by 80%

Minimize Redundancies

Eliminate duplicate expenditures & efforts

Develop Roadmap Short, mid & long-term goals Clear designation of responsibilities to execute strategies

### **Desired Future State**





## Coordinate w/ National Entities

Coordination at the national level will ensure a stable future state for modeling & cement California leadership in national modeling arena (Example: ICC)

## Standardize Outputs

Standardizing output will lead to easier to navigation & will promote model & output sharing across use cases

## Include Uncertainties

Providing uncertainties as part of the output will increase understanding of the model precision & supports transparency

## Expand Tools for Any Use Case

Establishing criteria & allowing any qualified tool to be used will promote software evolution in the private sector

## **Future Opportunities**





#### ZNE / Decarbonization

ZNE & Decarbonation are underlying policy objectives in CA

Ensuring that modeling can incorporate ZNE & decarbonization will ensure BEM aligns with current policy objectives

#### Non-Energy Benefits

Non-energy data outputs from building modeling can support NEB estimates

Examples include power-based carbon reduction, health benefits (through air change & temperature profile management) etc.

## Persistent Models

Maintaining a building model throughout its lifetime could save resources & support long-term policy initiatives

#### Examples include:

- Title 24 new building model
- Building continuous commissioning & calibration
- Future energy efficiency/renewable energy retrofit on building
- Title 24 building remodel

### Roadmap



## 6

#### **Mid Term**

- ✓ Develop interoperable rulesets that can apply to multiple use cases
- ✓ Use modeling to replace current "single point" measure savings with savings ranges

#### **Long Term**

✓ Explore dynamic model
 development
 LADWP grid-based modeling
 Behavioral effects
 Machine learning to improve models
 over time

#### **Short Term**

- ✓ Establish a single reference library of building prototypes
- ✓ Identify a path to reduce redundant modeling efforts
- ✓ Focus on aligning CPUC & CEC modeling tools

## Next Steps







Provide your feedback



Attend SCE
CalBEM 2019
Symposium
November

Cal TF presenting



Form Cal TF Subcommittee in Q1 2020

- Refine recommendations
- Refine roadmap results
- Coordinate with SCE



8

## Questions?