



#	Item Description	Majority Position	Minority Position
1	Who can submit WPs to the Energy Division? IOUs should be the sole entities that can submit WP to the CPUC for review.	<p>The CPUC staff & their EAR consultant regulate the ex ante process by drafting dispositions and rendering approval or rejection of measures. They would have the same role and authority in reviewing measures and drafting dispositions, and approving and rejecting measures under the proposed processes.</p> <p>With regards to the ex ante process, their ultimate authority is over the measures rather than the entities submitting the measures.</p>	<p>The Commission Staff's regulatory authority is over the IOUs and not over the Cal TF, so workpapers must be submitted by the IOUs so CPUC has control over WP quality and content.</p>
2	Who selects measures for development? IOUs have final say over what measures developed, not measure screening committee.	<p>The IOUs would be members of the Measure Committee and therefore maintain a degree of control over the approval of measures.</p> <p>Since third-parties will be responsible for implementing 60% of the funds, they need to be in a position to participate in the measure selection process through representation on the measure committee.</p> <p>Keeping this function with the IOUs will give the IOUs too much control over the third-parties, limit the third parties' ability to design and run effective programs, and undermine the Commission's policy directive to shift funding and responsibility to third parties.</p>	<p>The IOUs bear the risk of not meeting their savings targets so they should have control over the measure development. Furthermore, the IOUs will select the third-party programs, so IOUs will continue to control what measures will be offered.</p>
3	Who conducts workpaper development? Workpaper development should not be centralized but should instead remain with individual IOUs.	<p>The IOUs have been responsible for workpaper development and have not been successful. Their performance has been so bad that the Commission has tried to regulate the process through ESPI scoring; the IOU scores have dropped every year.</p> <p>Commission directive for statewide consistent measures will be easier to achieve through a single, statewide entity and very difficult to achieve if the process remains with the four IOUs.</p>	<p>IOUs are still responsible for savings targets and portfolio and thus must control measure development. Measure development and updating and measure standardization can be effectively accomplished through statewide coordination.</p>

