2016 Business Plan: Q1 Progress Report



ANNETTE BEITEL MAY 2, 2016

2015 Business Plan Overview





- Review and approve selected measures (at least eight)
- 2. Facilitate statewide coordination of IOU workpapers
- 3. eTRM: Continued development of project plan
- Develop technical position papers related to eTRM
- 5. Release RFQ for TF members to be seated June 1, 2015
- 6. Develop 2017 Cal TF Work Plan
 - Later in year
- 7. Cal TF, Third Year Review
 - Later in year

Updated Task: 3b – "Phase 1" eTRM Implementation

Goal 1. Review and Approve Selected Workpapers (at least 8)





- 2016 Cal TF measures for review
 - POU TRM Measures
 - ▼ LED Outdoor Pole Decorative Fixture*
 - ▲ LED Fuel Pump Canopy*
 - ▲ LED Wallpack*
 - Interior LED Downlights*
 - Whole Home Fan*
 - Energy Star UPS (Uninterruptible Power Sources for LED Lockers)
 - Third Party Measures
 - ▼ Ductless Mini-Splits*
 - ▼ Efficient Pumps*
 - ▼ Smart Thermostats*
 - IOU Measures

 - VFD: Fluid Pump VFD, Well Pump VFD, Process Pump VFD
 - Repair Indefinitely/To Code
 - Subcommittee discussion options; WP Sponsor needed for any selected measures

NOTE: * means in review.

Goal 2. Facilitate Statewide Coordination of IOU Workpapers





- CPUC Staff Directive:
 - IOUs must coordinate on WP development and submit only one WP per measure
 - IOU staff has welcomed Cal TF staff role in coordinating WP
- Public list of IOU workpapers available on CalTF.org and updated monthly
 - Collected current active IOU non-DEER WPs (388 Total)





- Project plan is detailed in the following documents
 - TPP2: Electronic Technical Reference Manual Proposal
 - ▼ Initial work scope, project timeline, roles and responsibilities
 - Technical and Process "Key Issues" Documents
 - **x** Technical:
 - Key issues identified
 - Background research performed
 - TF Subcommittee to recommend resolution for full TF review
 - × Process
 - Many key issues identified
 - Developing proposed approaches
 - Other eTRM documents
 - Stakeholder deck and one-pager





- Collect all IOU active measure WPs and assess level of effort to consolidate
 - WPs collected
 - Developed process for review/consolidation of current measures (IOU and POU TRM)
 - Level of effort:
 - ▼ 170 measures (not including DEER measures without WP), 427
 WPs for consolidation (includes POU TRM measures)
 - 12.5 days of engineer time per measure
 - 4 days of project management/oversight/coordination and facilitating review by EAR Team and Cal TF
 - Case Study: LED Outdoor Lights
 - Observations





- Develop specifications and available options for developing or procuring eTRM repository
 - eTRM "charette" with IOU/POU tech and reporting staff
 - Reviewed available commercial options
 - Developing and/or gathering:
 - Data fields (e.g. text, algorithms, point values, pointer to modeling tool)
 - o How many are really needed?
 - Data structure
 - DEER building prototypes
 - Common data sets/approaches
 - Controller for eTRM interface with modeling tool
 - - Who gets to view, download, review "in progress" measures, modify measures?
 - End Game: Draft RFP for review by IOU, POU and Commission staff





- Identify Technical Position Papers needed to ensure measures developed in consistent and cost-effective way:
 - List of issues in "Key Technical Issues" document
 - Issues for possible TPPs include:
 - Validation protocol for modeled measures
 - Using data to update measured savings
- Seek CPUC and CEC Support of Plan
 - Working on "Next Steps" document In part intended to memorialize approach to collaboration; early and regular input so end product acceptable to CPUC.





- Develop budget that will not lead to increase in WP development costs and will reduce costs over time
 - Budget for eTRM development and ongoing Cal TF management
 - Roughly equal to NW RTF budget
 - NW RTF budget: \$1.8 million
 - Reasonable upper limit for Cal TF
 - Key budget elements
 - 1. Facilitation/project management No change
 - 2. Increase in engineer time (5 FTEs)
 - Can some be filled by existing staff at IOUs/POUs?
 - 3. eTRM development/licensing cost
 - Vendors HIGHLY sensitive to public discussions (trade secret);
 ranges can be discussed with funders.





- Develop budget that will not increase current WP development costs and will lead to cost savings after eTRM project is completed
 - Cost drivers/savings opportunities described in memo
 - Estimated savings opportunities exceed proposed budget for eTRM
 - Cost savings will persist after completion of eTRM
- Seek CPUC/staff approval of plan Reach agreement for meaningful, ongoing staff participation
 - Cal TF staff working with CPUC staff to finalize eTRM "Next Steps" memo that addresses CPUC staff engagement in Cal TF process
 - Annette Beitel and Pete Skala to then present joint memo to Dave Gamson





- Clarify and Secure Commitments for eTRM Key Tasks
 - Cal TF Members:
 - Clarified and expanded (with member consent) Cal TF Member duties
 - Cal TF Staff:
 - Cal TF staff expects to summarize measures, identify similarities and differences in overlapping measures, prepare measures for EAR Team and Cal TF Review
 - Once reviewed/approved, prepare measure for placement into eTRM
 - Open Question: IOU bandwidth to help with measure review/ consolidation?

CPUC Staff Involvement:

- Cal TF Staff has requested early and ongoing feedback during measure review/consolidation
- CPUC Staff in process of assessing how they are able to engage
 - Staff has been responsive and helpful in providing input on eTRM project plan and deliverables

Goal 4. Develop Technical Position Papers Necessary for eTRM





- 2016 TPP(s) will support eTRM development
 - □ Update: 2015 TPPs
 - CPUC Staff has provided meaningful, substantive, helpful feedback on Cal TF 2015 TPPs they have reviewed
 - CPUC Staff turn-around time quick

Candidates for 2016 TPPs

- When is it appropriate to use proprietary versus open source tools?
- Consistent approach for validating modeled tools measures?
- How will metered evaluation results be used to update individual measures?
- When is it appropriate to use metered versus deemed/calculated approaches for developing savings estimates?

Goal 5. Manage RFQ for New TF Members





- Only two inaugural members chose to step down
 - Reached out to more focused, limited potential bidder's list
- Very successful round two RFQ
 - Received responses from more highly qualified applicants than available spots
- Number of TF Members expanded
 - Combination of very high technical skill and holistic balancing
 - Large enthusiasm for crosscutting subcommittee work—more members add depth to Cal TF's pool of expertise
 - Current TF head count: 35 TF members, up from 29 last year
- 2016 Solicitation
 - We want to keep at 35, will need to fill 7 8 positions.
 - Needed expertise: Behavioral, modeling, statistics, study design, EM&V.
 - Requested continuing members to stay through completion of eTRM to maintain continuity through eTRM process
 - Stagger thereafter
 - PAC volunteers for upcoming RFQ?

Updated Task 3b: Phase 1 eTRM Implementation





Cal TF-Requested Changes to Phase 1

- Focus on High-Impact Measures
- Ensure measures are still used and useful
 - e.g. Refrigerated Strip Curtains
- Current IOU measures don't get a pass
 - Review to ensure measures current and reflect best practices.
 - Sources need to be gathered and reviewed.
 - Cal TF Subcommittee should review measure summary, recommend when measure needs full review (sunset date)

Updated Task 3b: Phase 1 eTRM Implementation





- Measure review and consolidation process
 - Checklist and template-driven process
 - Checklist: Non-Overlapping Measure Review
 - Checklist and Template: Overlapping Measure Comparison
 - ▼ Template: Workpaper Source Quality Analysis Template
 - ▼ Template: Other TRM Analysis
 - Process: overlapping measures
 - Summarize and identify similarities/differences
 - Gather and analyze source documents
 - Compare measure parameters in select TRMs
 - Subcommittee input to harmonize inputs
 - Place measure into eTRM data form

Updated Task 3b: Phase 1 eTRM Implementation





- Measure review process: Not overlapping measures
 - Summarize measure parameters Algorithms, data, sources, values
 - Gather and analyze source documents
 - Compare measure parameters in select TRMs
 - Subcommittee input on measure
 - Place measure into eTRM data form
- What About DEER Measures With No WP?
 - Current plan Asking EAR Team to provide WP information for each DEER measure
 - No DEER measures are documented in WP format
 - What is our plan B?