CPUC Draft Workpaper Review Plan Through September 2019



TIM MELLOCH AYAD AL-SHAIKH FEBRUARY, 2019

Workpaper Review Ranking From CPUC





Several factors considered when prioritizing and assigning WP review rigor:

- Expected portfolio savings and program costs
- Potential impact of a WP update on portfolio savings
- Status of pending or in-progress research
- Potential effect on the market
- CPUC priorities
- CalTF's assessment of issues & improvements
- PA priorities
- DNV GL SME inputs on emerging issues
- SME input on LOE required to implement a WP improvement

Workpaper Review Ranking From CPUC





Fifty-six workpapers on draft list received 2/19/19

- Twenty-three to receive high level of rigor review
- Thirty-three to receive medium level of rigor review
- Remainder would receive a low level of rigor review
- Should anticipate that a few more might be added (e.g. new measures)

CPUC/EA Team reviewed with IOU's on 2/19/19 and offered them the opportunity to provide comments on the list.

Expectations Associated with Levels of Rigor





Low Rigor Review- will be utilized where updates are straightforward, the portfolio impact is small, and there are no known substantive issues. Will entail an administrative check-list to ensure completeness.

Medium Rigor Review- will be assigned where the updates are more complex or where straightforward WP guidance is in order. Could include bringing in secondary research or ex post data if available.

High Rigor Review- in addition to low and medium rigor review requirements, WPs that are expected to require further CPUC guidance regarding one or more of the key parameters. Will likely require multi-modes of data collection and analysis. All new WPs will be assigned a high rigor review.

Title

Review Summary Based on End-use Categoriya

- 1					
- 1					
- 1					
C. L. FORNIA TEC NICAL FORUM					

	1
5	$)\!\!\!/$

End-use Category	High Rigor	Medium Rigor
Lighting	19	
HVAC	1	10
Food Service	2	3
HVAC		
Service Water		7
Appliances & Plug Load	1	1
Refrigeration		6
Pools & Spas		2
Process		2
Agriculture		1
BRO		1

Title

Next Steps





IOU's reviewing the list and providing feedback:

- Note future plans for measures identified
- Identify any overlapping measures
- Based on WPs identified to receive high or medium rigor review, review WP submission schedule to determine if any adjustments are necessary to ensure final WP approval by August 1, 2019
- Provide any other clarifying questions or feedback based on the WPs selected for review