Interior LED Parking Garage/Exterior LED Billboard WP Measures (ROB or ER)?



MARTIN VU SEPTEMBER, 2016

Presentation Overview





Objective 1: To summarize WP development process:

- Interior LED Parking Garage
- Exterior LED Billboard

Objective 2: Obtain TF Input on

- Developing an approach to meet the preponderance of evidence standard for ER deemed wp measures
- Develop an acceptable approach for deemed measure studies
 - Low rigor studies?
 - Modified low rigor studies or other reasonable approach?

Interior LED Parking Garage WP Measure



Interior LED Parking Garage WP Measure





CalTF Abstract:

- Started out as an Interior Parking Garage Luminaire Measure
- Because of policy framework, most WPs use ROB install type
- Abstract modified to LED Retrofit Kits to consider customer costs
- □ Reality: Baseline fixtures consist of metal halide, high pressure sodium, or 1st Gen T8.

Staff Comments on Abstract

- Net-to-gross: All-Default<=2yrs seems fine.</p>
- Multiple technologies indicate the need for multiple measures.
 - (Enclosed vs Day lit garages)
- Hours of Ops There appear to be 3 potential data sources.
 - * 8760 has interim approval from previous workpapers submissions, but has not been through a detailed review.
- Minimum Code should not be assumed equal to standard practice.
- Demand Reduction Suggestions to modify calculation approach.

Interior LED Parking Garage WP Measure





CalTF Full WP Recommendation:

Include Early Retirement (ER)

SCE Program Team Position

- Adopted/Addressed EAR team's Early Observation Feedback
- Considered CalTF ER recommendation
- Decided ROB only to increase likelihood of quicker CPUC approval

Attempt to Use New Collaborative WP Review Process

- 8/18/2016 CalTF submitted wp to CS/EAR team
- 8/25/2016 Informed by CalTF staff that new collaborative wp review process is not official
 - ED staff reserves the right to use current approval process at its discretion
 - Recommends SCE upload wp to WPA using
- 9/1/2016 SCE uploads wp onto WPA





7

- Presented Abstract to CalTF on June 30, 2016
- Initial Goal: ROB to increase likelihood of quicker CPUC approval
- CalTF believes this is an Early Retirement measure and would require data collection during program implementation to support existing baselines





Action Items

- This measure should be treated as a repair indefinitely (would continue to replace lamps as standard practice)
 - See survey response question 3
- 2. Adjust base case cost based on bulb and not fixture replacement
 - MH bulbs averaged about \$45 per lamp instead of \$260 per fixture
- ER is most likely the application type
 - See survey response question 3, 5a and 5b
- 4. Explain how will the Express program will trigger changes in behavior in LED use instead of metal halide
 - × TBD?
- Calculate simple payback
 - ROB: 0.88 /fixture
 - ★ ER: 2.08/fixture





Action Items

- Follow up SCE custom project files on HOU metered data
 - haven't checked on metered data yet but custom files indicate 4100
- Define measure by fixture and wattage not by use case (i.e. billboards)
 - For solution directory, it may still need to be Exterior LED wall wash luminaires
- Full consideration of both T24 and T20
 - T24 code indicates that signage lighting must be 2.3W/sq-ft per billboard side
- 4. This measure should be treated as a repair indefinitely (would continue to replace lamps as standard practice)
 - See survey response question 3





With SCE EM&V team's input, survey questionnaire developed

- ~25 Active Members of CA State Outdoor Advertising Association (CSOAA) contacted
- ~16 total participants
 - 15 full participation; 1 partial participation
- Q3: Standard Practice for Maintenance:
 - replace MH lamps with MH lamps
- Q5a: New construction installations:
 - LED fixt.
- Q5b: Existing billboard retrofits:
 - Survey responses varied from MH to LED
 - ➤ For LED retrofits, installations ranged from 1-3%, 20% and in one case 70% of all fixtures converted to LEDs
 - One survey respondent indicated 100% fixtures were converted to LED





- Q11a participated in Rebate program Y
 - Likelihood to install LED fixture w/o rebate: 8 survey respondents
- Q11b participated in Rebate program N
 - 5 survey respondents
 - 2 not sure
 - 1 non-response due to partial survey





- Based on survey responses, recommendation to SCE program team is to pursue
 - ER Measure Single Baseline because
 - customers will install LED fixtures without the rebate and
 - customer trend is moving to LED fixtures based on this market segment.
- SCE program team decided that
 - Deemification should help reduce program costs not increase it
 - Allocating funds to perform studies w/o clear requirements does not align with cost cutting initiatives

Early Retirement (ER) or Replace on Burnout (ROB)







D.11-07-030 Attachment B at B13 states

- "Pre-existing equipment baselines are only used in cases where there is <u>clear evidence</u> the program has induced the replacement rather than merely caused an increase in efficiency in a replacement that would have occurred in the absence of the program."
- "These early or accelerated retirement cases may require the use of a "dual baseline" analysis that utilizes the pre-existing equipment baseline during an initial RUL period and a <u>code requirement/industry standard</u> <u>practice baseline</u> for the balance of the EUL of the new equipment."





D.11-07-030 Attachment B at B13 states

- "We note that D.11-07-030 may not reflect our clarification that the <u>compelling evidence standard</u> for the determination of baseline equipment <u>must be</u> <u>applied</u> to both possible outcomes.
- Specifically, D.11-07-030 notes that it is necessary to establish, by a preponderance of evidence, that the program has induced the replacement rather than merely caused an increase in efficiency in a replacement that would have occurred without the program.





Cal.Evid.Code § 115 Burden of Proof

- "Burden of proof means the obligation of a party to establish by evidence a requisite degree of belief concerning a fact in the mind of the trier of fact or the court.
- The burden of proof may require a party to raise
 - a reasonable doubt concerning the existence or nonexistence of a fact by
 - A preponderance of the evidence,
 - clear and convincing proof, or
 - proof beyond a reasonable doubt.
- Except as otherwise provided by law, the burden of proof requires proof by a preponderance of the evidence.





What is Preponderance of Evidence?

- Usually defined in terms of probability of truth,
 - E.g. evidence when weighed with that opposed to it has more convincing force and the greater probability of truth
 - AKA "more likely true than not true"

What is Clear & Convincing Evidence?

- Clear, explicit and unequivocal,
 - so clear as to leave no substantial doubt, and sufficiently strong to command the unhesitating assent of every reasonable mind

Differences between the two standards

- A preponderance calls for probability, while clear and convincing proof demands a high probability
- The difference between the two standards of proof is easy to articulate, but often difficult to apply.
- *UTILITY CONSUMERS ACTION NETWORK v. CPUC (2010)





Examples on How to demonstrate a preponderance of evidence for ER measures

- Include dialogue from previous customer/IOU meetings showing how the IOU accelerated the early retirement of the existing measure.
 - Include meeting dates and participant names.
 - Provide details on the high efficiency measure/s that were proposed by the IOU.
 - State some of the program features that the IOU educated the customer/s on that they were previously unaware of.
- Provide simple payback calculations with and without the IOU incentive.
- Provide documentation of any additional drivers for the project not related to energy efficiency.
- Provide documentation of any preliminary measurements performed for the customer by the IOU





Examples on How to demonstrate a preponderance of evidence for ER measures

- Document the known standard efficiency equipment alternatives that are available in the market or that were considered by the customer
- Include existing equipment installation dates (and old existing equipment invoices if available).
- Provide a calculation of the remaining useful life (RUL) of the existing measure based on its previous installation date and/or other forms of evidence to support estimated RUL.
- Provide a customer statement that the existing equipment is still in proper working condition and will continue to operate through the larger of one year or the claimed RUL.
 - Include readily available records of ongoing equipment maintenance and equipment performance.

CalTF Input on Preponderance of Evidence Standard for ER Measures



 Developing an approach to meet the preponderance of evidence standard for ER deemed wp measures?

- Develop an acceptable approach for deemed measure studies
 - Low rigor studies?
 - Modified low rigor studies or other reasonable approach?